1	KEVIN V. RYAN (CASBN 118321) United States Attorney	
2 3	EUMI L. CHOI (WVSBN 0722) Chief, Criminal Division	
4	ROBERT DAVID REES (CASBN 229441) Assistant United States Attorney	
5 6 7	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7210 Fax: (415) 436-7234	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE COURT	
10	NORTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. 3 05 70548
13	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER AND
14	v. }) STIPULATION FOR CONTINUANCE) FROM AUGUST 2, 2005 TO SEPTEMBER) 2, 2005 AND EXCLUDING TIME FROM) THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
15	ROSSIE HAWKINS,	
16	Defendant.	CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A)) AND WAIVING TIME LIMITS UNDER RULE 5.1
17		
18	With the agreement of the parties, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court enters	
19	this order scheduling an arraignment or preliminary hearing date of September 2, 2005 at	
20	9:30A.M. before the duty magistrate judge, and documenting the defendant's waiver of the	
21	preliminary hearing date under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and the exclusion of time	
22	under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b), from August 2, 2005, to September 2, 2005.	
23	The parties agree, and the Court finds and holds, as follows:	
24	1. The defendant has been released on her own recognizance.	
25	2. The defendant agrees to an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §	
26	3161(h)(8)(B)(iv) to provide continuity of counsel and reasonable time necessary for effective	
27	preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.	
28	3. The defendant waives the time limits of Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 for	

- 4. Counsel for the defense believes that postponing the preliminary hearing is in his client's best interest, and that it is not in his client's interest for the United States to indict the case during the normal 20-day timeline established in Rule 5.1.
- 5. The Court finds that, taking into the account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, these grounds are good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1. Given these circumstances, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the period from August 2, 2005 to September 2, 2005, outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. § 3161(h)(8)(A).
- 6. Accordingly, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court (1) sets a preliminary hearing date before the duty magistrate judge on September 2, 2005, at 9:30A.M., and (2) orders that the period from August 2, 2005 to September 2, 2005 be excluded from the time period for preliminary hearings under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and from Speedy Trial Act calculations under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B)(iv).

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

18 DATED: 6/5/08

DANIEL BLANK
Attorney for Defendant

DATED: 8/4/0

ROBERT DAVID REES Assistant United States Attorney

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 10 agos

HON. BERNARD ZIMMERMAI United States Magistrate Judge